334 Q. How do you plan to administer the requirement that customers within 335 Service Classification BGS-2 remain at demand levels less than 150 336 kilowatts? 337 A. The Ameren Companies will install a "check" demand meter on any customer 338 within Service Classification BGS-2 whenever the customer's monthly kilowatt-339 hours exceed 37,000 kilowatt hours. This level equates to a load factor of 340 approximately 34%, noticeably lower than the class average of approximately 341 50%. If the "check" meter registers a demand equal to or greater than 150 342 kilowatts, then the customer will be moved to Service Classification BGS-3 for a 343 minimum term of one year, unless the customer opts for the RTP rate. 344 Q. Please describe further Service Classification BGS-3 – General Service. 345 Service Classification BGS-3 will apply to all customers with individual metered A. 346 demands ranging from 150 kilowatts to less than 1,000 kilowatts and who elect 347 full requirements power service from the Ameren Companies and who satisfy all 348 of the other applicable requirements for Intermediate Service of the Delivery 349 Service (DS-3) tariff. Customers within this classification will require Time of 350 Day ("TOD") energy and demand metering and basic charges for BGS service in 351 this classification are TOD energy with seasonal differentiation. 352 Q. Please describe Service Classification BGS-4 – Large Service. 353 A. Service Classification BGS-4 - Large Service will apply to all customers with 354 individual metered demands of at least 1,000 kilowatts and who elect full 355 requirements service from one of the Companies during an "Open Enrollment 356 Period," and who satisfy all other applicable requirements for Large Service (DS-

Rate 4). Customers within this classification will require hourly load profile
energy and demand metering and basic charges for BGS service in this
classification are TOD energy with seasonal differentiation.

Q. Please describe the "Open Enrollment Period" for this service.

- As described in the testimony of Mr. Blessing, within 30 days of the results of the auction, DS-4 customers may opt for a one year commitment for power service under BGS-4. Customers electing this option must provide the Ameren Companies with a "wet" signature to verify the selection of BGS-4 for power service.
- Q. Please describe Service Classification BGS-5 Dusk to Dawn Lighting
 Service.
 - A. Service Classification BGS-5 Dusk to Dawn Lighting Service will apply to all un-metered outdoor dusk to dawn lighting service automatically controlled by electronic photocells and who elect full requirements power service from the Company and who satisfy all other applicable requirements for either Private Outdoor Area Lighting (DS-5) or Municipal Outdoor Lighting (DS-6) Service.

 The Ameren Companies have established a separate classification for this type of lighting service to recognize the unique load characteristics of photocell controlled lighting. Over the years, the Ameren Companies have compiled data that yields the determination of the hours of operation by month for the predictable and "constant" load of photocell controlled lights and, therefore, from a cost causation and equitable cost recovery perspective, it was logical to create a class consisting solely of photocell controlled outdoor area lighting. The loads of

non lighting customer classes are not nearly as predictable or constant, thereby further supporting the need for a separate rate class.

III. RATE TRANSLATION DISCUSSION

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

- With regard to the fixed price power product, you earlier stated that the Q. auction process would produce a single clearing price for customers with individual demands less than 1,000 kilowatts and a separate single clearing price for customers with individual demands greater than 1,000 kilowatts. How do you propose to translate these single winning bid prices from the auction processes into BGS rates for the respective customer groups? One of the simplest ways to pass these prices on to customers would be billing all Α. energy of customers within these two fixed price products at the winning auction price for the respective product. While such a billing approach would recover the Ameren Companies' total costs of power via the bid or auction process, it would not do so in an equitable and cost-causative manner. To accomplish the recovery of these costs in an equitable and cost -causative manner, we have customized or tailored a "translation tool" or "prism" utilized in New Jersey to develop class rates for retail customers of Public Service Electric and Gas ("PSE&G").
- 397 Q. Please explain.
- We are utilizing the underlying principles of the PSE&G tool, as adjusted to
 reflect Ameren Footprint and MISO specifics. The first step in the process is to
 develop, annually before each auction, class seasonal and/or TOD multiplicative
 factors. These multiplicative factors are developed from the Rate Translation
 Prism ("Prism"). The Prism combines forward market data for energy and

capacity with historical class load data, class historical sales, losses, and where applicable, class blocking data to develop BGS class load-weighted seasonal and/or TOD per unit costs and total system average load-weighted per unit cost. The resulting multiplicative factors are the ratios of the class load-weighted seasonal and/ or TOD per unit costs to the total system average load-weighted per unit cost. The Ameren Companies' "Prism" spreadsheets are attached as Resp. Exhibit 5.3. The forward market data for energy and capacity, historical load data and class historical sales utilized in the Prism will be updated annually prior to each auction. Tables 1 - 16 of the Resp. Ex. 5.3 are the spreadsheets that produce the resulting multiplicative factors. Tables 1-13 are the same for each BGS service classification and Tables 14-16 are the same for each fixed price auction product. Please explain Table No. 1 of Resp. Ex. 5.3. Table No. 1 contains input data representing the percentage of on-peak energy, by month, by each Ameren Company, and for each proposed rate schedule. The onpeak period as used in this table is defined as the 16 hour period from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. CPT, Monday through Friday. All remaining weekday hours and all hours on weekends and holidays recognized by the NERC are considered offpeak. For illustrative purposes, the values in this table for each month are the

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

Q.

A.

average on-peak percentages as calculated from the most recent load research data

of the Ameren Companies. We are proposing to update this data annually xx

business days prior to the first day of the auction. Subsequent annual revisions

will utilize average peak usage percentages based on load profile data for the 24

consecutive monthly billing periods extending through the monthly period ending no later than five (5) months prior to the earliest possible auction commencement date. Utilizing a two (2) year period will reduce the variability of weather effects on the percentages from any single year. Should load profile data be unavailable for the 24 consecutive months prior to the initial auction, we will utilize the most recent 12 months of data.

Q. Please explain Table No. 2 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.

A.

A.

Table 2 is a data input placeholder for percentage use during on-peak and off-peak periods that would be different from the 16 hour period discussed earlier in Table No. 1. Table 2 is necessary only if there is a need to use on-peak billing periods that differ significantly from those used for inputting of forward market prices, as discussed below. As stated earlier, the Ameren Companies' proposed on-peak billing hours are the same for the summer months and differ by one hour for the non-summer months. The slight shift of one hour during the non-summer months is not expected to have any material impact on the resultant pricing of the BGS services.

Q. Please explain Table No. 3 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.

Table No. 3 is a data input table which contains the average energy usage in each monthly billing period based on energy delivered to each BGS service classification, as expanded for losses, for the Ameren Companies in the 24 consecutive monthly billing periods extending through the monthly billing period ending no later than five (5) months prior to the earliest possible auction commencement date. For illustrative purposes, in this filing, Table No. 3 is

populated with historical calendar month sales of the Ameren Companies for the calendar year 2003, by month and by each proposed BGS rate classification at the bulk supply system level.

Q.

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

A.

Please explain Table No. 4 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3. Table No. 4 contains the forwards prices for energy, by month and by time period (On-Peak and Off-Peak) corresponding to the applicable annual period for which retail supply charges are being determined. In this filing these forward prices are not necessarily the view of the Ameren Companies but, instead are meant to serve only as proxies to help facilitate or illustrate the results of the use of the Prism. We are proposing the following procedure for determining On-Peak and Off-Peak Energy Market Forwards prior to the initial auction and subsequent annual revisions. A monthly Peak Energy Market Forward Price (PE_{mo}) and monthly Off-Peak Energy Market Forward Price (OE_{mo}) in dollars per megawatt-hour (\$/MWh), will be determined from the market data from forward contracts for electric power delivered into the MISO's Central Illinois Hub from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding NERC holidays. Should the MISO energy market be delayed or develop more slowly than anticipated, we will utilize the Into Cinergy Hub as an alternative source. A separate PE_{mo} and OE_{mo} will be

determined for each relevant calendar month in the respective BGS rate period. The Ameren Companies will use the Intercontinental Exchange reporting service or Platt's Energy Trader as the source of the market data, but may include additional or different electronic exchanges or reporting services in the future as

allowed by the Commission. The market data will be obtained daily by the Companies from these sources' end-of-day reports to obtain a representation of the market for each of the forward contracts for the respective auction period. The market data will be obtained on each of the ten consecutive business days ending on or before the date ninety days prior to the earliest possible auction commencement date.

In the absence of market data for forward contracts with terms for individual months, market data for forward contracts with longer terms will be utilized. In the event no data exists for any given month in the off-peak period for which data is to be obtained, we will use ratios of actual off-peak to on-peak MISO locational marginal prices for the Ameren control areas for the most recent historical month corresponding to the month for which no forecast data exists. In the event that no data exists for any given month in the on-peak period for which data is to be obtained, we will use data for a more recent comparable month.

Q. Please explain Table No. 5 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.

A.

An adjustment of the forward prices contained in Table No. 4 must be corrected for the effects, if any, of transmission congestion on the MISO system between the MISO Central Illinois Hub and the Ameren zone where the BGS supply will be utilized. Table No. 5 contains an estimate of the average congestion factors, by month and by time period. Since the MISO system is in its infancy stages and thus has no useful history of such congestion, we have set this adjustment equal to "1"in this filing. The setting of this factor to "1"removes any consideration of congestion for this filing. We may, in subsequent annual revisions, include an

estimate of the average congestion factor should such congestion in the MISO system become a known quantity. Approval of a charge in the average congestion factor would be sought from the Commission.

Q. Please explain Table No. 6 of Resp Exhibit 5.3.

A.

A.

Table No. 6 contains, for each of the Ameren Companies, the factors utilized for average distribution system losses and unaccounted for supply by proposed BGS rate schedule with adjustments to reflect delivery voltages. Currently, the loss factors are those shown in each of the Ameren Companies' applicable Delivery Services tariffs. Such loss factors are multiplied by metered customer usage to calculate the expected metered consumption at the bulk system level. Of course, these loss factors may be updated or adjusted from time to time as approved by this Commission.

Q. Please explain Table No. 7 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.

Table No. 7 is the calculation of, for each of the Ameren Companies, the energy-only per unit costs by proposed BGS rate, time period, and season. These values are the seasonal and time period average costs per MWh as measured at the bulk system based on monthly time period weights from Table No. 1 and forwards prices from Table No. 4 as corrected for congestion (Table No. 5). These average per unit costs do not include the costs associated with ancillary services, generation obligations or transmission costs, which will be considered in subsequent calculations.

516 Q. Please explain Table No. 8 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.

Table No. 8 indicates, for the Ameren Companies, the total value of the average
BGS energy-only costs, by proposed BGS rate classification, time period and
season. These values are the results from multiplying the unit costs from Table
No. 7, the monthly time period weights from Table No. 1 and the total sales to
customers from Table No. 3. These seasonal and rating period costs are used in
Table No. 9 to calculate per unit costs at the customer's meter.

Q. Please explain Table No. 9 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.

A.

A.

Table No. 9 shows the resulting Ameren Companies' composite rate class load weighted seasonal and TOD per unit energy-only costs at the customer's meter and are used to develop the rate multipliers and seasonal payment factors discussed later in this testimony. These values result from dividing the sum of each of the Ameren Companies' average BGS energy-only seasonal, TOD and total costs from Table No. 8 by the composite applicable seasonal, TOD, or total MWh use at the customer's meter.

Q. Please explain Table No. 10 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.

Table No. 10 sets up the data necessary for the inclusion of the costs of the generation and transmission obligations. The top portion of Table No. 10 shows, for each of the Ameren Companies, the total obligations by proposed BGS rate classification. Over the years, the Commission has approved the use of peak loads for the allocation of fixed costs associated with generation and transmission assets. As a result, we utilized the average of the four summer coincident peaks for each class to allocate similar market-based fixed costs in this filing. The use of

four coincident peaks does a fair job of recognizing the significant multiple peaks on the Companies' system. The middle portion of this table shows the number of summer and non-summer days and months that are used in this analysis. The bottom portion of this table shows the annual cost for transmission service and a seasonally differentiated market price of generation capacity. In this filing, the cost of transmission service is set to zero. It is our intent that the bid prices will exclude network transmission service and that these costs will be charged separately to retail customers through application of a new transmission cost recovery tariff that the Ameren Companies plan to file in their next DS rate filings. Currently, there is not a MISO capacity market in place; therefore, we are proposing to use an estimate of the current wholesale market prices for capacity in PJM as a proxy. The Ameren Companies will use MISO capacity market prices in the first filing of the Prism after such market exists.

Q. Please explain Table No. 11 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.

A.

Table No. 11 are the costs of ancillary transmission services to be included in the winning bid price. We proposes that ancillary services costs be based on averaging historical annual ancillary transmission services costs incurred in the provision of electric power supply for the 12 months ending no later than 90 days prior to the auction commencement date. Since there is no history of MISO ancillary costs, we have chosen, in this filing, to utilize the average \$ per MWh ancillary services cost as determined by PSE&G in the most recent PJM auction which is meant to serve only as a proxy to help facilitate and illustrate the results of the use of the Prism.

Q. Please explain Table No. 12 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.

- Table No. 12 shows the result of the allocation of both transmission and A. generation costs on a per MWh basis to the proposed BGS rate classifications. These values are the result of, for each proposed BGS rate, dividing the sum of: (1) each of the Ameren Companies' average four coincident peaks from the upper portion of Table No. 10, multiplied by (2) the seasonal daily capacity price, multiplied by (3) the number of days per the seasonal period per the middle portion of Table No. 10, by the sum of each of the Ameren Companies' seasonal MWh at the customers' meters.
- 771 Q. Please explain Table No. 13 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.
 - A. Table No. 13 contains the overall supply cost computation by rate classification, by summer and non-summer periods, and by on-peak and off-peak periods within those seasons as applicable. The top portion of Table No.13 is the resulting BGS class load-weighted seasonal and/or TOD per unit costs and total system average load-weighted per unit cost of the inclusion of the transmission, generation capacity, and ancillary services costs to the energy-only costs shown in Table No.

 9. These seasonal and time differentiated per unit costs become the numerator in the formulas that determine the multiplicative ratios in Table No. 14. Based on the assumptions utilized in the above tables, the bottom portion of this table shows, for each BGS service classification the total estimated "all-in" BGS costs to be recovered on an energy-only basis and the average per unit costs as measured at the customer meters or the bulk system.

Q. Please explain Table No. 14 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.

584

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

A.

585 This table is one of the most critical tables in the Prism. The upper portion of the A. 586 table summarizes, for each BGS auction product, the total estimated costs of the 587 BGS rate classes based on the inputs utilized in Tables 1-13 for each class and the 588 resulting average "all-in" per unit cost measured at the customer meters and the 589 bulk system. The middle and lower portions of this table is the resulting ratio (multiplicative factors) of each of the individual rate element cost components 590 from Table No. 13, for each BGS rate class, to the overall all-in costs as measured 591 592 at the bulk system from the top portion of this table.

Q. Please explain Table No. 15 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.

Table No. 15 shows the calculation of the total BGS costs, by season utilizing the seasonal customer usage from Table No. 3, adjusted for losses from Table No. 6, and the all-in unit costs from Table No. 13. The lower portion of this table indicates the relative percentage of total costs by season and the overall average all-in seasonal unit costs on a dollar per MWh basis. The ratio of these overall average seasonal costs to the overall total costs from Table No. 14 are the seasonal payment ratios upon which seasonal payments to the winning bidders are based

Q. Please explain Table No. 16 of Resp. Exhibit 5.3.

A. Table No. 16 contains a reconciliation of the revenues recovered by application of the rate multipliers from Table No. 14 to the payment to suppliers based on the seasonal payment factors from Table No.15 and is used as a check of the Prism's operation.

607	Q.	What is the next step in translating the single winning bid price from the
608		auction processes into BGS rates for the respective customer groups?
609	A.	The next step in the process is the determination of the Ameren Companies'
610		average capacity and energy supply cost. The average cost is the weighted
611		average price that would be paid to BGS suppliers accounting for seasonal
612		payment factors and BGS sales volume by season. As discussed in Mr.
613		Blessing's direct testimony, we are proposing, for the under 1MW (< 1,000 KW)
614		customers, that the auction tranches have a three year term with 1/3 of the
615		tranches expiring and being re-auctioned annually. The initial auction will have a
616		17-month, a 29-month, and a 41-month product. There will be a one year product
617		for the large customer class BGS-4, except in the initial auction for which there
618		will be a 17month product. Each year the auctions will be repeated so that the
619		Companies can replace the expiring tranches from prior auctions. The clearing
620		price for each of the three products (the current auction results with prior auction
621		results) will be multiplied by the Companies' seasonal payment factors (those
622		from current auction and those from prior auctions). These seasonal prices for
623		each product will then be weighted by the associated number of tranches and
624		seasonal sales volumes to determine the weighted average cost applicable to BGS
625		load.
626		For example, assume that for the initial auction for the under 1MW customers: (1)
627		the winning bid prices for the 17, 29 and 41-month products are \$40.00, \$41.00
628		and \$42.00 per MWh, respectively; (2) there are 60 total tranches bid, 20 tranches
629		per product; (3) the seasonal payment factors per the initial Prism are 1.200 and

630 0.900 for the summer and non-summer respectively; (4) forcast BGS class MWh
631 at the bulk system are 9,000,000 for the summer period and 14,000,000 for the
632 non-summer period. The resulting weighted average bid price would be

$$40 \times 20/60 \times 1.2 \times 9,000,000 = 144,000,000$$

$$41 \times 20/60 \times 1.2 \times 9,000,000 = 147,600,000$$

$$42 \times 20/60 \times 1.2 \times 9,000,000 = 151,200,000$$

\$442,800,000

$$40 \times 20/60 \times 0.9 \times 14,000,000 = 168,000,000$$

$$41 \times 20/60 \times 0.9 \times 14,000,000 = 172,200,000$$

$$42 \times 20/60 \times 0.9 \times 14,000,000 = 176,400,000$$

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

\$516,600,000

\$959,400,000

$$$959,400,000 \div 9,000000 + 14,000,000 = $41.71 \text{ per MWh}$$

Continuing on, the following auction for the next BGS rate period will be 30 tranches for a 36-month product, at a winning bid price of \$45.00 per MWh. The previous 17-month product is finished and there are 12 and 24 months remaining on the previous auction's 29 and 41-month products respectively. Assume: (1) the seasonal payment factors for the second auction per the Prism are 1.150 and 0.8750 for the summer and non-summer respectively and (2) BGS class MWh at the bulk system are 10,000,000 for the summer period and 15,000,000 for the non-summer period. The resulting weighted average bid price for the next BGS rate period would be:

$$41 \times 20/60 \times 1.20 \times 10,000,000 = 164,000,000$$

$$42 \times 20/60 \times 1.20 \times 10,000,000 = 168,000,000$$

\$45 x 20/60 x	1.15 x 10	.000,000 = \$	172,500,000
----------------	-----------	---------------	-------------

\$504,500,000

 $41 \times 20/60 \times 0.900 \times 15,000,000 = 184,500,000$

 $42 \times 20/60 \times 0.900 \times 15,000,000 = 189,000,000$

 $$45 \times 20/60 \times 0.875 \times 15,000,000 = $196,875,000$

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

\$570,375,000

\$1,074,875,000

The new weighted average bid price that would be applicable to the next BGS rate period would then be:

 $$1,074,875,000 \div 10,000000 + 15,000,000 = 43.00 per MWh.

This process would continue annually as the 12-month product would terminate and a new 36-month product would be auctioned.

The final step in the process is converting this resulting annual weighted average price into retail BGS rate values for the BGS rate period. This is accomplished by multiplying the weighted average bid price by the applicable rate multiplicative factors as determined by the Prism in Table No. 14.

For illustrative purposes, I have attached as Resp. Exhibit 5.5 a schematic or pictorial of the Prism, showing the inputs and other factors as described that result in the final price.

- Q. Do the Ameren Companies envision the outputs of the Prism being used for other than rate development?
- Yes. The BGS pricing spreadsheets are also intended to provide bidders with an easy to use tool that can translate auction prices for each term into retail BGS rates. Bidders can enter into the spreadsheet auction prices for the Companies' 3-

year and 1-year tranches, click on a calculate box and be able to view the BGS rates that would result if the auction were to clear at the entered price levels. BGS prices may be important to bidders for the purpose of assessing the likelihood and degree of migration to and from BGS rates. It is contemplated that bidders may use these spreadsheets in two ways. First, in preparation for the auction, bidders can examine a wide variety of scenarios of potential auction clearing prices and analyze the retail rates that result from those scenarios. These analyses can be used to examine how potential migration from a given set of retail rates may affect the bidder's valuation of the auction opportunity. Second, as the auction is in progress, bidders will be able to enter going prices and update their analysis of potential migration and the auction opportunity. Also, as discussed above, Table No. 15 provides bidders with the seasonal factors for payments to bidders. Should one expect that billings generated under rates from the abovereferenced prism achieve Ameren's goal of precisely recovering all costs associated with the procurement of said fixed power? No. The decomposition of the single winning auction prices across several rate class and pricing periods based on predicted load characteristics and estimated losses, along with seasonal payment factors for remittance of payments to successful bidders, will result in under or over-collection of power costs. As a result, the proposed BGS Riders "point to" the previously mentioned Market

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

Q.

A.

Value Rider -Rider MV (Resp. Ex. 4.1).

- 680 Q. Please explain.
- As discussed in Mr. Mill's direct testimony, Rider MV contains, among other items, the process for translating winning bid prices into BGS rates and an MVAF, which is an adjustment mechanism to synchronize BGS power supply costs to billed revenue. Mr. Mill provides additional detail on the rationale and the mechanics of the MVAF.

686 IV. RIDER RTP – REAL TIME PRICING DISCUSSION

- Q. Mr. Cooper, please discuss Ameren's proposed RTP offering to customers
 with individual demands of greater than 1,000 kilowatts.
- The proposed Rider RTP-L contains provisions for the availability of RTP to all customers with individual demands equal to or greater than 1,000 kilowatts (> 1MW). Additionally, we have designated RTP as the default power service for customers who either: (1) do not opt for BGS or RES service during the open enrollment period described in the testimony of Mr. Blessing or (2) lose RES supply for any reason.

695 Q. Please discuss the pricing of power under Rider RTP-L.

All energy purchased under Rider RTP-L will be priced based on provisions of
the RTP-L bid contracts. These contracts contain three components for RTP-L
service: (1) Energy at MISO Locational Marginal Hourly Prices ("LMPs") that
vary by Ameren control area designation; (2) an energy based Rider D - Default
Service Supply Availability Charge; and (3) a capacity based hourly demand
charge. The proposed Rider MV contains the provisions for the pricing of these
components. Of course, all of these charges will be adjusted for system losses.

703	Q.	Earlier you mentioned Ameren's desire to have one set of prices for power
704		and energy across its entire Footprint. Why are you proposing varying RTP
705		related LMPs depending on which control area of Ameren's a customer is
706		located?
707	A.	Current MISO provisions do not allow the Ameren control areas to be treated as
708		one "virtual" control area for LMP purposes. As a result, it is not possible to
709		provide a single LMP for any hour that would be representative of the comparable
710		hour LMP for each of Ameren's three control areas. Therefore, RTP customers
711		will be subject to LMPs based on the control area of which they are located.
712		Differences in LMPs among the Ameren control areas are primarily tied to
713		transmission congestion costs and are expected to be minimal. Additionally, we
714		are optimistic that in time MISO will treat the control areas as one and subsequent
715		RTP related LMPs would be same for all of the Ameren Companies.
716	Q.	Are any of the above mentioned charges for Rider RTP-L customers
717		applicable to Rider RTP-L eligible customers with RES service?
718	A.	Yes. Pursuant to the RTP-L bid contracts, the Companies are proposing that all
719		Rider RTP-L eligible customers with RES service be subject to the non-
720		bypassable Rider D.
721	Q.	Please explain.
722	A.	RTP-L power and supply bidders have included a Rider D charge or component
723		on a cents per kilowatt hour basis for all Rider-RTP-L load and all Rider RTP-L
724		eligible load with RES service. As stated earlier, we propose to bill this charge on

725		a cents per kilowatt hour basis, as adjusted for losses, to all Rider RTP-L
726		customers and Rider RTP-L eligible customers with RES power service.
727	Q.	Please discuss the proposed RTP offering to customers with individual
728		demands of less than 1,000 kilowatts (< 1 MW).
729	A.	The proposed Rider RTP contains provisions for the availability of RTP to all
730		customers with individual demands of less than 1,000 kilowatts. Unlike terms for
731		customers with individual demands of 1,000 kilowatts or greater, the Companies
732		have designated BGS the default power service for customers with individual
733		demands of less than 1,000 kilowatts.
734	Q.	Please discuss the pricing of power under Rider RTP.
735	A.	All energy purchased under Rider RTP will be priced under Rider MV.
736		Essentially, small customers opting for this service will receive "virtual" or
737		equivalent billing under proposed Rider RTP-L, as described above, excluding the
738		Rider D charge.
739	Q.	Please elaborate.
740	A.	As discussed in the testimony of Mr. Blessing, the Companies will not request
741		bids for RTP power for customers with individual demands of less than 1,000
742		kilowatts. However, the Companies propose that these customers be billed as if
743		they were served under Rider RTP-L without the imposition of Rider D charges
744		for customers within this category who do opt for RES service. Therefore, Rider

D charges will only be applicable to customers opting for RTP service. The

rationale for omitting Rider D charges for RES-served customers in this category

lies in the defaulting of these customers to BGS versus RTP. The bid price for

745

746

BGS for all customers in this class should include a component for the defaulting
of this service to BGS; the inclusion of Rider D on RES served load in this
category would suggest a "double counting" of sorts.

V. SWITCHING RULES DISCUSSION

751

- Q. Will customers eligible for the various BGS service offerings be subject to
 switching rules?
- Yes. There is a direct correlation between auction bid price and switching and 754 A. minimum stay requirements for customers with choices between utility provided 755 power and service from a RES. Typically, the greater the load uncertainty, the 756 greater the probability that suppliers will be compelled to add larger risk 757 premiums to offset risks. However, there are concerns that the existence of 758 switching and minimum stay rules may impede the development of the power 759 market. The following switching/minimum stay rules should strike a reasonable 760 balance between the goals of supporting the development of a robust power 761 market and, at the same time, obtaining the lowest possible market prices for 762 customers. The proposed Rider MV tariffs contain the applicable switching rules. 763

764 VI. TRANSMISSION SERVICE DISCUSSION

- 765 Q. You have now discussed the proposed post-2006 power service offerings.
- Please discuss the Companies' plan for Transmission Service offerings.
- 767 A. The Companies plan to file a Transmission Service Rider Rider TS with their
 768 next Delivery Service case. This rider will contain all provisions for the
 769 providing of transmission service to customers opting for power service from the
 770 Companies' post-2006 and will provide for full recovery of all costs, fees, and

771 charges for transmission and related services not otherwise recovered under the 772 BGS or RTP riders. This rider is not expected to apply to customers taking 773 service from a RES.

VII. OTHER POWER SUPPLY DISCUSSION

774

777

785

A.

- 775 Q. What are you proposing for power sales to customers with non-emergency 776 self-generation that operates in parallel with the Ameren Companies?
- We are proposing that customers with self –generation capacity of less than five 778 (5) megawatts be offered power service under either Rider BGS or Rider RTP. 779 This proposal provides customers with small to medium sized self generation 780 units the flexibility of selecting applicable BGS or RTP power service 781 simultaneous with full flexibility in operating their generators in a manner 782 consistent with their internal economics. Based on initial customer survey 783 intelligence, it is anticipated that the aggregate capacity of self generation in this 784 category represents approximately five (5) percent of total non-emergency
- 786 Q. What are you proposing for power sales to customers with non-emergency 787 self-generation equal to or greater than five (5) megawatts that operates in 788 parallel with the Ameren Companies?

customer self generation installed on the Ameren Companies.

789 A. We are proposing that customers with self –generation capacity equal to or grater 790 than five (5) megawatts be offered a "hybrid" of power service under Rider BGS 791 and Rider RTP or, in the alternative RTP, only.

- Q. Please explain the proposed hybrid Rider BGS and Rider RTP power
 offering for these customers.
- Customers with non-emergency self-generation at these levels tend to be very 794 A. sophisticated energy managers. In some cases, these customers utilize excess 795 steam from product process operations to run in house generator sets. We are 796 proposing that customers in this category be subject to a hybrid billing that: 1) 797 adequately reflects the costs of providing power service to their unique 798 operations, 2) provides proper price incentives with regard to whether self 799 installed generation output is more economic than market based RTP, and 3) 800 minimizes the opportunity for these customers to place low load factor load on the 801 system at prices that don't reflect actual market prices. 802

Q. Please elaborate.

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

A.

First, customers in the above category will be required to pay the Ameren Companies for the installation of metering or install, at their own costs, acceptable metering for measuring the output of their generators. Additionally, standard metering for the billing of the Companies' DS, BGS, and RTP services will be required. The hybrid proposal will bill all Company metered power usage in excess of that that could be hypothetically served by the customer's self generation at a one-hundred percent capacity factor under the applicable Rider BGS fixed price product. However, any Company metered power usage metered during intervals where the customer's generator is operating at less than one-percent capacity, will be billed under the applicable RTP. While this approach is somewhat complex, billing can be easily accomplished by a comparison of the

interval meter reads between the customer's generation meter and the customer's DS, BGS, and RTP power meter. Additionally, this hybrid billing addresses the three concerns mentioned above and is just and reasonable. Based on initial customer survey intelligence, it is anticipated that the aggregate capacity of self generation in this category represents approximately ninety-five percent of total non-emergency customer self generation installed on the Ameren Companies' system. Additionally, this hybrid billing proposal provides a proper balance between the customer's desire to economically operate self generation and our desire to have power prices that reflect cost causation and equitable cost recovery principles.

Q.

A.

- What are you proposing for power and energy sales to customers desiring power service from the Ameren Companies to supplement or augment power being provided by an ARES?
- We are proposing that customers desiring power and energy from Ameren to supplement or augment power provided from an ARES be served under the applicable RTP offering. The application of the RTP offering for supplementing or augmenting power and energy provided by a ARES is reasonable considering a primary criterion (i.e., homogeneous load or usage characteristics) in establishing rate design for the Company's fixed price offering. A customer obtaining power and energy service from a RES may be homogeneous with the other ARES or Company customers from a load perspective, however, its use of power and energy service from an ARES versus that served by one of the Companies could vary significantly. For example, if we were to offer the fixed price product to

these participants in the market, one would expect that, wherever possible, they will place load swings or peaks during periods of high costs on our system at a fixed price, while base loading on the ARES at a lower per unit price. The use of RTP for power and energy service required to supplement or augment ARES service minimizes the likelihood of these customers behaving in this manner, prevents subsidies to the detriment of our fixed price customer groups, and sends a better or more proper price signal. Thus, the use of RTP for this service is just and reasonable, and also promotes the development of an efficient market for power and energy.

Q.

A.

- Earlier you mentioned that the Ameren Companies would be filing DS cases for new rates to become effective prior to the effective date of the post-2006 BGS offerings. Please discuss the basic objectives of your delivery services filings as they may relate to BGS.
- The objectives of the DS filings are as follows: (1) complete recovery of the Ameren Companies' DS related revenue requirements; (2) alignment of DS classes with BGS/RTP classes; (3) class revenue requirements and rate design that reflect cost causation and equitable cost recovery principles; (4) competitively neutral DS rates (i.e., rates for DS should be the same whether customer opts for virtual bundled service from the Ameren Companies, or takes DS from the Companies with power from an ARES. Achievement of these DS objectives will assist in promoting a robust retail market for power in Illinois, promote ease of customer and employee understanding of our rates and tariffs, and provide our shareholders with a reasonable rate of return. As a result, all stakeholders benefit.

801	Q.	Have you prepared an exhibit that maps the Ameren Companies existing
862		bundled retail electric Service Classifications to expected post-2006 DS, TS,
863		and BGS/RTP applications for the continuation of "virtual" bundled service?
864	A.	Yes. Resp. Exhibit 5.4 contains this mapping for the Ameren Companies'
865		classifications.
866	Q.	Will customers defaulted to BGS-1, 2, and 3 on January 2, 2007, be required
867		to remain on such for an entire year?
868	A.	No, we recognize that despite the efforts of all the parties in this process to
869		educate consumers prior to January 2, 2007, there will still be some confusion,
870		especially with smaller customers. As a result, all customers initially defaulted to
871		BGS 1, 2, or 3 may switch to any other available BGS rates at any time subject
872		only to DASR requirements.
873	Q.	Please discuss your proposed BGS treatment for new connections/customers
874		post-2006.
875	A.	All "new" (i.e., customers served from new distribution extensions or successor
876		customers) customers will be given the option of either BGS "fixed" price or RTP
877		service, if they request power service from the Ameren Companies.
878	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?
879	Α.	Yes, it does.